FILED LARA R. SHAPIRO (State Bar No. 227194) 2010 JUN 16 PM 4: 03 4145 Via Marina # 324 Marina del Rey, CA 90292 Telephone: (310) 577-0870 Facsimile: (424) 228-5351 5 Of Counsel to Lemberg & Associates LLC A Connecticut Law Firm 1100 Summer Street Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 11 William R. Turner II 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 SOUTHERN DISTRICTURE BLM CONTINUES BLM 15 "10 CV 1286 DMS BLM 16 Case No.: William R. Turner II, **17** 18 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 1. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 19 COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, VS. 20 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET. SEQ; EOS CCA; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, 2. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 21 COLLECTION PRATICES ACT, 22 Defendants. CAL.CIV.CODE § 1788 ET. SEQ. 23 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 24 25 FILE BY FAX 26 27 28

()

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, William R. Turner II, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

# **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, William R. Turner II (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing at 566 Naples Street, Apartment 111, Chula Vista, California 91911, and are each a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. The Defendant, EOS CCA (hereafter "EOS"), is a company with an address of 700 Longwater Drive, Norwell, Massachusetts 02061, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by EOS and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
  - 7. EOS at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

# **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

## A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$580.00 (the "Debt") to AT&T (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to EOS for collection, or EOS was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# B. <u>EOS Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics</u>

12. EOS called the Plaintiff numerous times per day.

- 13. EOS called the Plaintiff at his place of employment even though he explained to EOS that he cannot receive calls there.
  - 14. EOS was rude and abusive when speaking to the Plaintiff.
  - 15. EOS is adding fees and interest in violation of the Debt agreement.
- 16. EOS agreed to withdraw payment from the Plaintiff's bank account on April 30, 2010. EOS never withdrew the funds.
  - 17. EOS threatened to ruin the Plaintiff's credit rating.
- 18. EOS recorded their conversation with the Plaintiff without his permission.

# C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 19. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 20. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 21. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

- 30. The Defendants attempted to collect an amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).
- 31. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 32. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

# COUNT II VIOLATION OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq.

- 33. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 34. The Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code section 1788 et seq. ("Rosenthal Act") prohibits unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the collection of consumer debts.
- 35. EOS, in the regular course of business, engages in debt collection and is a "debt collector" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(c).
- 36. The Defendants used obscene and profane language when speaking with the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(a).

- 37. The Defendants caused a telephone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in continuous conversations with an intent to annoy the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(d).
- 38. The Defendants communicated with the Plaintiff with such frequency as to be considered harassment, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(e).
- 39. The Defendants failed to comply with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(e).
- 40. The Defendants falsely represented that the Plaintiff's debt would be increased by the addition of attorney's fees, investigation fees, service fees, finance charges or other charges, when the charges could not be legally added to the debt, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(e).
- 41. The Defendants falsely represented that information about the Plaintiff's alleged failure to pay the debt would be referred to a credit reporting agency, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(f).
- 42. The Defendants did not comply with the provisions of Title 15, Section 1692 of the United States Code, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 43. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- C. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- D. Actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);
- E. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 per violation for knowingly and willfully committing violations pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 
  § 1788.30(b);
- F. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- G. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- H. Punitive damages; and

I. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

DATED: June 16, 2010

LARA SHAPIRO

By: Lara R. Shapiro

Attorney for Plaintiff William R. Turner II

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS William R. Turner II  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			DEFENDANTS EOS CCA; and DOES 1-10, inclusive					
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF, CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES USE THE FORTION OF C.					dhu <b>set</b> ts
Lara Shapiro 4145 Via	e, Address, and Telephone Number) Marina 324 Marina del Rey CA 31057 1100 Summer St Stamford CT 2036		Attorneys (If Know	), C/	112	286	DMS BLM	SPUTY
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP O		INCIP.	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for and One Box for Defendar	
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		of This state	PTF	DEF 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi		DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Another State	O 2	O 2	Incorporated and of Business In		o s
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		ign Country			1 oreign readon		
	Language in the second of the	FOI	RFEITURE/PENAL/	TY # [#	BA	NKRUPTCY	SEE OTHER STATUT	CS. wis 2
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine Product Liability □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Liability □ 370 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability □ 385 Property Damag Product Liability	7-	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other Labor/Mgmt. Relation Labor/Mgmt. Relation Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigatio Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act MMIGRATION Naturalization Applic Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	is 81 in	423 Witt 28 U 28	ISC 157  RTY RIGHTS  Int Idemark  ESECURITY  (1395ff)  (C/DIWW (405(g))  D Title XVI	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenc Corrupt Organizati ■ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	ed and ons dities/ ge tions ation Act atters Act action rmination
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ened (s	nother o		6 Multidist Litigation		n
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	brief description of cause:		-	tional s	tatutes	unless diversity):		<u> </u>
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Violations of the Fair Debt Colle  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO  UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		MAND \$			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complain	t:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER		
DATE 06/16/2010	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY O	F RECORD dia	Ju	_	FILE	BY FAX	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # 1	AMOUNT 350 APPLYING IFP		JUDO	ЭЕ		MAG. JU		
CA TA	AMOUR 350 - APPLYING IFP							

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS014716

Cashier ID: mbain

Transaction Date: 06/16/2010 Payer Name: RAPID LEGAL

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: TURNER V EOS CCA

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001286-001

- Amount: \$350.00

\_CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 028954

Amt Tendered: \$350.00

\$350.00 Total Due:

Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.